App. F. IX-4

1928.

File No. 26-28

Previous References (Mungeri)

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References
Mungeri 26-28-3-4
" 250-28-1-2
" 282-2-1
" 26-29-5-6
" 6V-30-1-2
" 53-33-1,

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for July 1928

SUBJECT

Proposal for the allocation of the officials of the Munger Commissioner's office in the new grades.

H.O. file 153-4
dated 20.7.28

To the Mung. Com.
Comfort

Sanctioned

Muz 1j 1927-28

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Allocation proposals in respect of the revision of the Muz: Comr's office estabt.

From

The Muzrai Comr

No 830/27-28 Dated 30-6-28 Received

Diary No. 10	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he has proposed a few increments in the case of some officials, and gives reasons for the same; & requests that his proposals may be sanctd. early —

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The allocation proposals ~~xxx~~ now submitted for sanction are based on the provisions made of the Muz. Comr.'s office sanctd in G.O. No 2143-4/Muz 287-27 dt 28-5-28. The Muz Comr. has proposed the present office

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mur File No. 26 of 192[illegible]

Serial No.

in certain cases, assigning reasons therefor.

2 Secretary's order on the letter.

"Please note what each official would get under the rules and calculate the extra cost" - (Sd.) B. 30/7/27.

3 The amount that each official would get under Articles 34, 35 & 36 of the M. S Regs is noted in the statement. The extra cost to be incurred by grant of increments, as proposed by the Mur. Govt will be Rs 7 & per mensem ~~& the total extra cost is Rs 800/-~~

4 Submitted for orders

5 At first sight, the No. of increments proposed in cases Nos 1, 4, 10, and 13 seem to be rather too many; but the officials concerned have not had any increase of pay for several years past. Though they have had to work hard. The pay proposed to the officials by the Mur. Govt seem to be very reasonable. Sanction to allowances proposed may perhaps be sanctioned & to have effect from 1. 6. 28 as directed in G O No 2143-4/mur 28-29-2 of 23d. may 1928.

This is already brought to notice by the Mur. Govt

Sd. Illegible

Sd. Illegible

30/7

Sd. Illegible

30/7/28

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GIPS—30,000—18-4-28

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sahib. Vide Statement below.

If no more than one increment is allowed the extra cost (ie over that admissible by rules) would be Rs 27/= per mensem or 324/= per annum. As proposed by the Mar. Commr. the extra cost would be Rs 95/= per mensem or 1,140/= per annum. These are exclusive of the increments that are proposed to acting incumbents in places against items 7 and 9.

M.
11.7.28.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

(To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.)

	Designation	Admissible pay under M & R.	Proposed Pay	Amount of Increment proposed	Amount of increments over one
1	Manager	125	140	15	10
2	Head Clerk.	100	104	4	Nil
3	Senior clerk.	76+1	80	3	Nil
4	Sub Overseer	64+3	76	9	8
5	Head Accountant.	76+1	88	11	8
6	Munshi	48+2	52	2	Nil.
7	Junior clerk.	33+2	42	7.	6
8	—do—	33+2	39	4	3
9	—do—	48+2	51	1	Nil.
10	Deputy Accountant.	33+2	57	22	21
11	—do—	33+2	42	7	6
12	—do—	45	48	3	Nil.
13	—do—	33+2	42	7	6
		716	861	95	18

95-68 27

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 26 of 1928-29

Serial No.

7 Kind reference is invited to the Office note and the statement attached to it.

8 If only one increment over the pay admissible as per rules 4 M.S.R. as allowed, the extra Cost will be only Rs 3 24/-

9. It Can be met out of the provision of Rs 500/ made under 1 Superintendence 13 M.W. Instructions for revision

Fldcy) MR 1/27

10 The Mng Commissioners proposal seem to be very generous - A revision cannot be made an occasion for giving advance increments to every member of the staff - This will be an inconvenient precedent -

11. I think the allocation may be settled on the following line -

(1) In the case of officials who have been in receipt of pay & salary for over two years one increment over the pay admissible under the rules may be allowed in fixing the initial pay -

(2) No more than one increment shall be granted in any case -

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mus File No. 26 of 1928.

Serial No.

(3) ~~Where the pay of the officer is not equal to one of the stages in the new scale, his initial pay and not be split up into pay and personal allowance~~ Where the pay of a officer is not equal to one of the stages in the new scale, his initial pay and not be split up into pay and personal allowance He may be allowed on the next higher stage of pay in the revised scale — And according to clause (1) an increment may be allowed further, if the officer has put in one two years service on the said valid pay.

12. I have worked the pay & salary admissible according to the above, in the statement accompanying the M.O's letter — This may be approved.

The extra cost will be Rs 29 per month and it may be met from the lump provn in the budget —

The revision may have effect from 1st June

1st member 13/7/28.

13 I agree. The pay of Rs 75 proposed in the case of No 3 is not a stage of the incremental scale and it may be ~~fixed~~ raised to Rs 80 —

Mudi 15/7/28

Issue orders 16/7

Sorry I made a mistake

14 JULY 1928 No 324

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

D 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

Typ. attached 16/7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 26 of 1928-29

Serial No.

17 JULY 1928 No. 399

16. Draft order in favour of
Mr. [illegible]
17-7-28
17 July

17 July
1928

18 June
1928

2 To. our Comm. Accounts
No 153-4 of 20.7.28.
Sanctions the
allocation for 1.6.28 da
approves of the
action for retrenching the
services of the
funds.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

No. Confidential 93 427-28 dtd 30/6/28 (Secretariat Establishment)

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE & Muzrai COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 30th June *1928.*

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

The Financial *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*

Secy. Office. 3 Dept of 8 10 Muzr 26-28-1

SIR,

24-8-Mgr. Please note what each office would pl. mean the rule and calculate thereafter. 27/7/28 ST 2/7

With reference to [illegible] G.O. No. 21-3-1/Muz 387-27-2 dated 27th January 1928, sanctioning among other items, the revision of the Muzrai Commissioner's Office establishment, I have the honour to forward herewith the orders of [illegible] on the proposals. Some of the officials have been getting their present pay for a number of years and their [illegible] a lot of promotion [illegible], I have proposed a few increments in the case of some officials. No reasons for the same have been given in all the cases. I have carefully gone through the matter and [illegible] the proposal in such a way as to limit the increments only to the most deserving cases. I may here state that many of the officials have been seriously hit [illegible] improvements of their pay scales [illegible] the clerical establishment. I therefore request that early orders may be obtained to my proposals [illegible]

2. I have also to [illegible] to the orders of Government [illegible]

Sir,

Your most [illegible]
P. G. D'Souza

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... I.C .....

Section Mey

File No. 26-28

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mey)

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 20-7-28

Signed by: H.K. Somanna Gyl

Read— [illegible] sanctioning, among other matters, the revision of the Imperial Commissariat establishment.

2) letter no. 227-28, [illegible] Comm. in Mysore submitting for sanction proposals for the Order Thereon No

[illegible]

Order.- [illegible]

[illegible]

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Ab

RC.

~~Lest consideration to the~~
~~proposals and~~ are pleased
to sanction the allocation
as shown
in the statement appended to the order. with effect
from 1st April 1928

2 The redistribution of
the clerical and medical in
the Mysore Coorg office, as
directed in the order read above,
~~has been~~ is reported to have
been given effect to from
1.6.28 & this is approved.

17/7 Secretary
Fin. Dept

Mug
19.7.28

To

The Mysore Commissioner
Coorg

List of enclosures.

RD
17.7.28
MM
17/7/28

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1 000,00- 21 12 21

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Statement showing the present & sanctioned pay of the officials of the ~~Office~~ of the Mysore Commissioner

Name of official	Designation	Present pay	Sanctioned pa
1. Mr. N. Q. Krishniengar	Manager	100+25(PA)	130 in 125-5-151
2. " M Ramaswamiah	Head Clerk	100	100 in 100-4-12
3 " V. Srinivasa Rao	Senior clerk	77	80 in 40-4-101
4 " N Kunigal	Sub: Overseer	67	72 " 40-4 60
5 " J. Subba Rao	Head Accountant	77	84 in 40-4-60
6 " Lakshmipathia	Munshi	50	52 in 40-4-60
7. = D. Narasimhachar	Junior clerk	35	39 in 30-3-61
& Sampangiramiah acting for no 7		34	36
8. R. P. Chandramathiah	Junior clerk	35	36 in 30-3-61
9. Mr Jayarama Rao	Junior clerk	30	~~51~~ 54 in 30-3-61
N H Hanumantrah acting for no. 9		30	30
10. " N. V. Narasinga Rao.	Deputy Accountant	35 permanent 57 acting	57 in 30-3-61
S. V. Srinivasan acting for no. 10		57	57
11. N. Ananda Rao	Deputy Accountant	35	36 in 30-3-60
12. B. Govindappa	Deputy Accountant	44+1	45 in 30-3-60
13. A. Venkataramaniah	Deputy Accountant	35	39 in

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Government order No. 2143-4/Muz.257-27-2 dated the 23rd May 1928 sanctioning among other matters, the revision of the Muzrai Commissioner's Office Establishment.

2. Letter No. 83 of 1927-28 dated 30th June 1928 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore submitting for sanction proposals for the allocation of the Officials in the new grades.

Order No. 153-4 | Muz 26-28-2

Dated, Bangalore, the 20th July 1928.

Government are pleased to sanction the allocation as shewn in the Statement appended to the order with effect from 1st June 1928.

2. The retrenchment of one Clerk and one menial in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office, as directed in the order read above, is reported to have been given effect to from 1st June 1928 and this is approve

D. Rama Rao
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Statement showing the present and sanctioned pay of the Staff of the Muzrai Commissioner's Office, Bangalore.

No.	Name of official	Designation	Present pay	Sanctioned pay
1.	Mr. N.G. Krishniengar	Manager	100 plus 25 (P.A.)	Rs. 150 in 125-5-150
2	Mr. M. Ramaswamiah	Head Clerk	100	" 100 " 100-4-120
3	Mr. V. Srinivasa Rao	Senior Clerk.	77	" 80 " 40-4-100
4.	Mr. N. Kunigal	Sub-Overseer	67	" 72 " 40-4-100
5.	Mr. T. Subba Rao	Head Accountart	77	" 84 " 40-4-100
6.	Mr. Lakshmipathiah	Munshi	50	" 52 " 40-4-100
7.	" D. Narasimhachar	Junior Clerk.	35	" 39 " 30-3-60
	Sampangiramiah	Acting for No. 7	34	" 36
8	R.P. Chandranathiah	Junior Clerk	35	" 36 in 30-3-60
9.	Mr. Jayarama Rao	Junior Clerk	50	" 5 30-3-60
	M.H. Hanumanthiah	Acting for No. 9	30	" 30
10.	Mr. N.U. Narasinga Rao	Deputy Accountant (will continue to act for 2 yrs)	35 permanent 57 Acting	" 57 in 30-3-60
	Mr. S.V. Srinivasan	Acting for No. 10	57	" 57
11.	N. Ananda Rao	Deputy Accountant	35	" 36 in 30-3-60
12.	B. Govindappa	Deputy Accountant	44 plus 1	" 45 " 30-3-60
13.	A. Venkataramaniah	Deputy Accountant	36	" 39 " 30-3-60

Secretary to Government.